

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KENNETH GRIMES,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	NO. 23-CV-4582-KSM
	:	
THE DETENTION CENTER, et al.	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 19th day of January, 2024, upon consideration of Plaintiff Kenneth Grimes's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. No. 2), Complaint (Doc. No. 1), Motion for Contempt (Doc. No. 5), and Motion for a New Judge (Doc. No. 6), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
2. The Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
3. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to add the Philadelphia Police Department as a Defendant.
4. The Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE** and **DISMISSED IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum as follows:
 - a. Grimes's claims against the Philadelphia Detention Center and the Philadelphia Police Department are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
 - b. Grimes's claims against Blanche Carney are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
5. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** the Philadelphia Detention Center and the Philadelphia Police Department as Defendants in this case.

6. Grimes may file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order if he is able to cure the defects the Court has identified. Grimes may not attempt to reassert any claim already dismissed with prejudice against a Defendant that has been terminated. Any amended complaint must identify all defendants in the caption of the amended complaint in addition to identifying them in the body of the amended complaint and shall state the basis for Grimes's claims against each identified defendant. The amended complaint must also provide as much identifying information for the defendants as possible. Grimes may refer to a defendant by last name only if that is the only identifying information possessed. If Grimes wishes to name individuals for whom he does not have any identifying information, he may refer to those individuals as John Doe #1, John Doe #2, etc.¹ The amended complaint shall be a complete document that does not rely on the initial Complaint or other papers filed in this case to state a claim. When drafting his amended complaint, Grimes should be mindful of the Court's reasons for dismissing the claims in his initial Complaint as explained in the Court's Memorandum. Upon the filing of an amended complaint, the Clerk shall not make service until so **ORDERED** by the Court.

7. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to send Grimes a blank copy of this Court's current standard form to be used by a self-represented litigant filing a civil action bearing the above-captioned civil action number. Grimes may use this form to file his amended complaint if he chooses to do so.

8. If Grimes does not wish to amend his Complaint and instead intends to stand on his Complaint as originally pled, he may file a notice with the Court within thirty (30) days of

¹ Without the name of at least one individual or entity, however, the Court may be unable to direct service of any amended complaint that Grimes may file.

the date of this Order stating that intent, at which time the Court will issue a final order dismissing the case. Any such notice should be titled “Notice to Stand on Complaint,” and shall include the civil action number for this case. *See Weber v. McGrogan*, 939 F.3d 232 (3d Cir. 2019) (“If the plaintiff does not desire to amend, he may file an appropriate notice with the district court asserting his intent to stand on the complaint, at which time an order to dismiss the action would be appropriate.” (quoting *Borelli v. City of Reading*, 532 F.2d 950, 951 n.1 (3d Cir. 1976))); *In re Westinghouse Sec. Litig.*, 90 F.3d 696, 703–04 (3d Cir. 1996) (holding “that the district court did not abuse its discretion when it dismissed with prejudice the otherwise viable claims . . . following plaintiffs’ decision not to replead those claims” when the district court “expressly warned plaintiffs that failure to replead the remaining claims . . . would result in the dismissal of those claims”).

9. If Grimes fails to file any response to this Order, the Court will conclude that Grimes intends to stand on his Complaint and will issue a final order dismissing this case.² *See Weber*, 939 F.3d at 239-40 (explaining that a plaintiff’s intent to stand on his complaint may be inferred from inaction after issuance of an order directing him to take action to cure a defective complaint).

² The six-factor test announced in *Poulis v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co.*, 747 F.2d 863 (3d Cir. 1984), is inapplicable to dismissal orders based on a plaintiff’s intention to stand on their complaint. *See Weber*, 939 F.3d at 241 & n.11 (treating the “stand on the complaint” doctrine as distinct from dismissals under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to comply with a court order, which require assessment of the *Poulis* factors); *see also Elansari v. Altria*, 799 F. App’x 107, 108 n.1 (3d Cir. 2020) (*per curiam*). Indeed, an analysis under *Poulis* is not required when a plaintiff willfully abandons the case or makes adjudication impossible, as would be the case when a plaintiff opts not to amend their complaint, leaving the case without an operative pleading. *See Dickens v. Danberg*, 700 F. App’x 116, 118 (3d Cir. 2017) (*per curiam*) (“Where a plaintiff’s conduct clearly indicates that he willfully intends to abandon the case, or where the plaintiff’s behavior is so contumacious as to make adjudication of the case impossible, a balancing of the *Poulis* factors is not necessary.”); *Baker v. Accounts Receivables Mgmt., Inc.*, 292 F.R.D. 171, 175 (D.N.J. 2013) (“[T]he Court need not engage in an analysis of the six *Poulis* factors in cases where a party willfully abandons her case or otherwise makes adjudication of the matter impossible.” (citing cases)).

10. Grimes's Motion for Contempt is **DENIED**.

11. Grimes's Motion for a New Judge is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Karen Spencer Marston

KAREN SPENCER MARSTON, J.